

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/769,348</p>	<p>Applicant(s) STEINBOCK ET AL</p>	
<p>Examiner JAMES M. HEWITT</p>	<p>Art Unit 3679</p>		

All Participants:

(1) JAMES M. HEWITT.

(2) Suzanne Kikei.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 14, 22, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 14, 22 and 25 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment to obviate certain informalities in order to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)